

# Supplementary Material of

## “Automatic Design of Color Filter Arrays in The Frequency Domain”

Chenyan Bai, Jia Li, Zhouchen Lin, and Jian Yu

In this supplementary material, we prove the Theorem 1 which shows the solution to the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{M}^{k+1} &= \underset{\mathbf{M}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{N}_1^k, \mathbf{N}_2^k, \mathbf{S}^k, \mathbf{X}^k, \mathbf{x}^k, \mathbf{Y}^k, \mathbf{Z}^k) \\
 &= \underset{\mathbf{M}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|\mathbf{M}^{-1}\|_2 + \frac{\beta}{2} \|\mathbf{M} - (\mathbf{N}_1^k + i\mathbf{N}_2^k) + \mathbf{X}^k/\beta\|_F^2 \\
 &= \underset{\mathbf{M}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{1}{\beta} \|\mathbf{M}^{-1}\|_2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{M} - \mathbf{W}^k\|_F^2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

It is problem (17) in the main article.

**Theorem 1.** *The solution to problem (1) is:*

$$\mathbf{M}^{k+1} = \mathbf{U}^k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{k+1} (\mathbf{V}^k)^H, \tag{2}$$

where  $\mathbf{U}^k \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^k (\mathbf{V}^k)^H$  is the SVD of  $\mathbf{W}^k$ ,  $\mathbf{U}^k$  and  $\mathbf{V}^k$  are unitary matrices,  $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^k = \operatorname{diag}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^k)$ , in which  $\operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{y})$  converts the vector  $\mathbf{y}$  into a diagonal matrix whose  $j$ -th diagonal element is  $y_j$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^k = (\lambda_1^k, \lambda_2^k, \lambda_3^k)^T$  is the real vector of singular values of  $\mathbf{W}^k$  and satisfies  $\lambda_1^k \geq \lambda_2^k \geq \lambda_3^k > 0$ , and  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{k+1} = \operatorname{diag}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{k+1})$ , in which  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{k+1} = (\sigma_1^{k+1}, \sigma_2^{k+1}, \sigma_3^{k+1})^T$  is the solution to the following problem:

$$\min_{\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \sigma_3 > 0} \frac{1}{\beta \sigma_3} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^3 (\sigma_j - \lambda_j^k)^2. \tag{3}$$

Before we prove it, we first quote the von Neumann’s inequality [1]: Suppose  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  are  $m \times n$  matrices. Then  $\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \rangle \leq \sum_j \delta_j(\mathbf{A}) \delta_j(\mathbf{B})$ , where  $\delta_j(\mathbf{B})$  is the  $j$ -th largest singular value of  $\mathbf{B}$ . The equality holds when the matrices of left and right singular vectors of  $\mathbf{A}$  are the same as those of  $\mathbf{B}$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{\beta} \|\mathbf{M}^{-1}\|_2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{M} - \mathbf{W}^k\|_F^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{\beta \delta_3(\mathbf{M})} + \frac{1}{2} (\|\mathbf{M}\|_F^2 - 2\langle \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{W}^k \rangle + \|\mathbf{W}^k\|_F^2) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\beta \delta_3(\mathbf{M})} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{j=1}^3 \delta_j(\mathbf{M}) - 2\langle \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{W}^k \rangle + \sum_{j=1}^3 \delta_j(\mathbf{W}^k) \right) \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{\beta \delta_3(\mathbf{M})} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^3 (\delta_j(\mathbf{M}) - 2\delta_j(\mathbf{M}) \delta_j(\mathbf{W}^k) + \delta_j(\mathbf{W}^k)) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\beta \delta_3(\mathbf{M})} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^3 (\delta_j(\mathbf{M}) - \delta_j(\mathbf{W}^k))^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

According to the von Neumann’s inequality, the equality can hold when the matrices of left and right singular vectors of  $\mathbf{M}$  are the same as those of  $\mathbf{W}^k$ . Thus the theorem is proved.  $\square$

So by Theorem 1 the solving for  $\mathbf{M}^{k+1}$  in problem (1) is converted into that for  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{k+1}$  in (3), which is convex. In order to facilitate the presentation and calculation, we drop the superscript  $k$  of  $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$  and reformulate (3) as:

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \frac{1}{\beta \sigma_3} + \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{\sigma} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}\|_2^2, \text{ s.t. } \mathbf{T} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \geq \mathbf{0}, \tag{4}$$

where  $\mathbf{T} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

When applying ADM to (4), we first introduce auxiliary variables  $\boldsymbol{\tau}$  and  $\varphi$  and rewrite it as:

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\tau}, \varphi} \frac{1}{\beta\varphi} + \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{\sigma} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}\|_2^2 + \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{R}_+}(\boldsymbol{\tau}), \text{ s.t. } \mathbf{T}\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \boldsymbol{\tau}, \varphi = \sigma_3. \quad (5)$$

The augmented Lagrangian function of (5) is:

$$\mathcal{L}_\sigma(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\tau}, \varphi, \mathbf{u}, v) = \frac{1}{\beta\varphi} + \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{\sigma} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}\|_2^2 + \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{R}_+}(\boldsymbol{\tau}) + \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{T}\boldsymbol{\sigma} - \boldsymbol{\tau} \rangle + \langle v, \varphi - \sigma_3 \rangle + \frac{\kappa}{2} \|\mathbf{T}\boldsymbol{\sigma} - \boldsymbol{\tau}\|_2^2 + \frac{\kappa}{2} (\varphi - \sigma_3)^2, \quad (6)$$

where  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $v$  are the Lagrange multipliers, and  $\kappa > 0$  is the penalty parameter which is fixed during the iterations.

Then by ADM problem (5) can be solved via the following iterations:

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{t+1} &= \underset{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \mathcal{L}_\sigma(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\tau}^t, \varphi^t, \mathbf{u}^t, v^t) \\ &= \underset{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{\sigma} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}\|_2^2 + \frac{\kappa}{2} \|\mathbf{T}\boldsymbol{\sigma} - \boldsymbol{\tau}^t + \mathbf{u}^t/\kappa\|_2^2 + \frac{\kappa}{2} (\varphi^t - \mathbf{d}^T \boldsymbol{\sigma} + v^t/\kappa)^2 \\ &= \mathbf{Q}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\lambda} + \mathbf{T}^T (\kappa \boldsymbol{\tau}^t - \mathbf{u}^t) + \mathbf{d} (\kappa \varphi^t + v^t)), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\tau}^{t+1} &= \underset{\boldsymbol{\tau}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \mathcal{L}_\sigma(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{t+1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}, \varphi^t, \mathbf{u}^t, v^t) \\ &= \underset{\boldsymbol{\tau}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{R}_+}(\boldsymbol{\tau}) + \frac{\kappa}{2} \|\mathbf{T}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{t+1} - \boldsymbol{\tau} + \mathbf{u}^t/\kappa\|_2^2 \\ &= \max(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{T}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{t+1} + \mathbf{u}^t/\kappa), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^{t+1} &= \underset{\varphi}{\operatorname{argmin}} \mathcal{L}_\sigma(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{t+1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}^{t+1}, \varphi, \mathbf{u}^t, v^t) \\ &= \underset{\varphi}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{1}{\beta\varphi} + \frac{\kappa}{2} (\varphi - \sigma_3^{t+1} + v^t/\kappa)^2, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

$$\mathbf{u}^{t+1} = \mathbf{u}^t + \kappa (\mathbf{T}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{t+1} - \boldsymbol{\tau}^{t+1}), \quad (10)$$

$$v^{t+1} = v^t + \kappa (\varphi^{t+1} - \sigma_3^{t+1}), \quad (11)$$

where  $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{I} + \kappa \mathbf{T}^T \mathbf{T} + \kappa \mathbf{d} \mathbf{d}^T$ ,  $\mathbf{d} = (0, 0, 1)^T$ , and  $\mathbf{I} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$  is the identity matrix.

Let  $g^{t+1} = \sigma_3^{t+1} - v^t/\kappa$  in (9), then we have:

$$\varphi^{t+1} = \underset{\varphi > 0}{\operatorname{argmin}} q(\varphi) = \frac{1}{\beta\varphi} + \frac{\kappa}{2} (\varphi - g^{t+1})^2. \quad (12)$$

Since  $q(\varphi)$  is differentiable w.r.t.  $\varphi$  on the set of positive real numbers,  $\varphi^{t+1}$  is to be among the positive real critical points of  $q(\varphi)$ , which are the positive real roots of the cubic equation  $\varphi^3 - g^{t+1}\varphi^2 - 1/(\beta\kappa) = 0$ . It has a closed-form solution and can be computed by the cubic formula.

The stopping criteria are:

$$\max\{\|\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{t+1} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}^t\|_\infty, \|\boldsymbol{\tau}^{t+1} - \boldsymbol{\tau}^t\|_\infty, \|\varphi^{t+1} - \varphi^t\|_\infty\} < \varepsilon_3 \quad (13)$$

$$\text{and } \max\{\|\mathbf{T}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{t+1} - \boldsymbol{\tau}^{t+1}\|_\infty, \|\varphi^{t+1} - \sigma_3^{t+1}\|_\infty\} < \varepsilon_4. \quad (14)$$

We summarize the whole solution process of problem (5) in Algorithm 1.

---

**Algorithm 1** The ADM algorithm for problem (5)

---

**Input:**  $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\mathbf{T}$ ,  $\kappa = 1$ ,  $\varepsilon_3 = 10^{-10}$ , and  $\varepsilon_4 = 10^{-10}$ .

1: **Initialize:**  $\boldsymbol{\tau} = \mathbf{0}$ ,  $\varphi = 0$ ,  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$ ,  $v = 0$ ,  $t = 0$ .

2: **while** the stop conditions (13) and (14) are not met **do**

3:     fix the others and update  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$  by (7).

4:     fix the others and update  $\boldsymbol{\tau}$  by (8).

5:     fix the others and update  $\varphi$  by (9).

6:     update the multipliers  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $v$  by (10) and (11).

7:      $t \leftarrow t + 1$ .

8: **end while**

**Output:**  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ .

---

## References

[1] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson. *Matrix analysis*. Cambridge university press, 2012.